

Frailty in emergency general surgery and the role of the perioperative physician/geriatrician

Dimitrios Damaskos,^{1,2} Carlo Vallicelli³

¹Department of General Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, UK; ²Department of General Surgery, University of Edinburgh, UK; ³Department of General Emergency and Trauma Surgery, Maurizio Bufalini Hospital, Cesena, Italy

The aging of the population is a fact, and with it, our surgical patients are becoming increasingly more complex and frailer. It is a well-known aspect of our practice nowadays, but its impact was not recognized until recently. The article by Pearse et al.¹ showed us that mortality in major abdominal/colonic procedures in patients over 69 years of age is significant, perhaps more than we wanted to believe. The issues around the need for better care for elderly patients undergoing emergency surgery were subsequently identified in the Peri-operative Care: Knowing the Risk NCEPOD document (2011).² In that report, the role of frailty has been highlighted by the authors and particular attention was given to multiple comorbidities/polypharmacy/cognitive and sensory impairment compromising the outcomes of these patients. The subsequent (2015) National Emergency Laparotomy Audit report³ issued specific recommendations that patients over 70 undergoing an emergency laparotomy should have Input from specialist Care of the Elderly teams.

The "High-Risk General Surgical Patient: Raising the Standard" 2018 report⁴ by the Royal College of Surgeons of England further enhanced the message, advocating for routine assessment of frailty and rigorous screening for neurocognitive

Correspondence: Dimitrios Damaskos, Department of General Surgery, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, UK. E-mail: dimitris.damaskos@gmail.com

Key words: surgery; elderly patients; frailty.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest, and all authors confirm accuracy.

Received: 26 October 2023. Accepted: 26 October 2023.

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

[©]Copyright: the Author(s), 2024 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Surgery in Geriatrics and Frailty 2024; 1:10 doi: 10.4081/sigaf.2024.10

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

disorders in this group of patients. They also emphasized the importance of much needed change on a managerial and organisational basis to that effect. The 2021 British Geriatrics Society position paper⁵ stated clearly that all elderly and frail patients undergoing emergency surgery should be seen by a consultant geriatrician within 72 hrs from admission. The recent World Society of Emergency Surgery position paper on frailty assessment in emergency laparotomy6 comes to echo and further support the recommendations, after a systematic assessment of the current literature. Multiple international societies have endorsed similar practices, with the most recent ERAS in emergency surgery guidelines⁷ adding frailty assessment and early involvement of medical teams to the framework of enhanced recovery after surgery protocols. Despite all the above, the latest NELA report showed that only 31.8% of these patients receive this care currently in England and Wales.

What can geriatricians do for emergency surgery patients? The role is evolving and there are many different models of care. They provide comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) with a multidisciplinary team, ensuring early identification of frailty and highrisk patients. That leads to the prevention and/or treatment of medical complications proactively, which results in less morbidity and readmissions. They are also vital in other "softer" interventions such as advising and participating in the management of chronic conditions, intervening on polypharmacy, initiating and substantiating discussions on anticipatory care pathways. Overall, this approach helps optimize the functional recovery of the patient through a multidisciplinary team, coordinating care and discharge planning along with the allied health professionals/therapists. They work in partnership with the surgeons and anaesthetists for joint decision-making and collaborative person-centred care.

What do surgeons want? A complete answer to that question cannot be given, and answers may vary from surgeon to surgeon and from institution to institution. It would be fair to say though, that, in principle, surgeons want good overall outcomes, high patient satisfaction, low mortality and morbidity, and a low readmission rate. Other potential answers could include combined surgeon/geriatrician ward rounds and shared care, takeover of frail/unfit for surgery patients directly by medical teams at the front door with surgical consultations on demand, or takeover of patients by geriatricians once the surgical problem is confidently resolved but the patients have ongoing medical or rehabilitation issues. The models are not the same and every unit should strive to find a way to incorporate this multifaceted approach, based on available expertise and resources.

The literature clearly shows the need for a closer collaboration between surgeons and geriatricians/physicians in the management of older and frail emergency surgery patients. This clinical need has its own challenges, related to workforce, organisational struc-



ture and above all the surgeons' own commitment to change what they do. In this recent survey that was endorsed by WSES,⁸ the vast majority of surgeons asked agreed that frailty was a crucial factor for the outcomes of their patients, however only a very small percentage used frailty assessment tools. Dedicated pathways should be ideally provided to all elderly patients. Their implementation should not only be viewed as a cost-efficient solution but also as an alignment with what is considered standard modern-day practice.

References

- 1. Pearse RM, Harrison DA, James P, et al. Identification and characterisation of the high-risk surgical population in the United Kingdom. Crit Care 2006;10:R81.
- 2. National Confidential Enquiry into Patients Outcome and Death (NCEPOD). Peri-operative Care: Knowing the Risk. 2011. Available from: https://www.ncepod.org.uk/2011poc.html
- 3. National Emergency Laparotomy Audit. First Report of the National Emergency Laparotomy Audit. 2015. Available from: www.nela.org.uk

- 4. Royal College of Surgeon. The High-Risk General Surgical Patient: Raising the Standard. 2018. Available from: https:// www.rcseng.ac.uk/-/media/Files/RCS/News-and-events/Mediacentre/2018-press-releases-documents/RCS-Report-The-HighRisk-General-Surgical-Patient--Raising-the-Standard--December-2018.pdf
- Shipway D, Partridge J, Braude P, Vilches-Moraga A. British Geriatrics Society position statement: older patients undergoing emergency laparotomy. Age Ageing, 2021;50:268-269.
- 6. Tian BWCA, Stahel PF, Picetti E, et al. Assessing and managing frailty in emergency laparotomy: a WSES position paper. World J Emerg Surg 2023;18:38.
- Peden CJ, Aggarwal G, Aitken RJ, et al. Guidelines for Perioperative Care for Emergency Laparotomy Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society Recommendations: Part 1-Preoperative: Diagnosis, Rapid Assessment and Optimization. World J Surg 2021;45:1272-1290.
- Viswanath M, Clinch D, Ceresoli M, et al. Perceptions and practices surrounding the perioperative management of frail emergency surgery patients: a WSES-endorsed cross-sectional qualitative survey. World J Emerg Surg 2023;18:7.